

Minutes from January 14, 2020
Hanahan City Council Meeting - 6:30PM
Debbie Lewis Municipal Chambers
1255 Yeamans Hall Road Hanahan, SC 29410

A regular City Council Meeting of Hanahan City Council was held on Tuesday, January 14, 2020 in the Debbie Lewis Municipal Chambers at 1255 Yeamans Hall Road in Hanahan at 6:30pm. The meeting was advertised in the Post and Courier. The Agenda was posted on the City of Hanahan webpage, the City's Facebook page and a copy of the Agenda was posted on the lobby bulletin board at least 24 hours prior to the meeting. Mayor Christie Rainwater presided. Council Members that were present at tonight's meeting were - Mayor Pro Tem Chandler, Council Member Hedgpeth, Council Member Sally, Council Member Owens, Council Member Hodges and Mayor Rainwater. Council Member Dyson was not present. We had a quorum for tonight's meeting. Emily Huff, Clerk of Council was also not present, she was out sick with the flu. Hilary VanOrsdel, HR Director took the minutes in my absence.

Staff members that signed the visitor's log were: Mike Cochran - City Administrator, Jon Ellwood – IT, Hilary VanOrsdel – HR, Randy MoneyMaker – Rec Dept., Dennis Turner – Police Chief, Mike Fowler - Deputy Chief, Bo Bowers – Fire Chief, Flor Reyes – Police Department, Fred Durant – Police Department, Jeff Hajek – Building & Codes, Tyler Dempsey – Building & Codes, Shaine Beal – Building & Codes, Joe Gill – Public Works Director, Allyson Driggers – Accounts Payable, and Courtney Sobel – Finance Director.

**A copy of the sign in sheet is included in with the minutes.*

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Rainwater called the Tuesday, January 14, 2020 City Council Meeting to order at 6:33pm.

INVOCATION

The invocation was led by Pastor Adam Spurlock of Restoration Community Church.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Council Member Sally led us in the Pledge of Allegiance.

RECOGNITIONS

- A. Mike Cochran, City Administrator joined Mayor Rainwater for the recognition of Hanahan Elementary School's former Principal, Mr. Tom Sparkman. Mike Cochran stated that one of the things that makes Hanahan so special, is our wonderful schools. If you are a "Hanahanian" and are around 40 years old, you would have had Mr. Sparkman as your Principal at Hanahan Elementary School. Many years ago, I had the privilege of shadowing Mr. Sparkman for a day. At that time, he had already been well seasoned Principal, yet he was still carrying his clipboard and knew everyone, where everyone should be, and even knew the substitute teachers. Mr. Sparkman has been the Principal at Hanahan Elementary School since 1989; thirty years!! Mr. Sparkman was called up and presented a certificate which read *"The City of Hanahan would like to thank you for your outstanding service to our city as an Educator and Principal at Hanahan Elementary School. Our schools are the backbone of our community and we were blessed to have you leading our students, faculty and staff. You always led from the heart and can't imagine anyone demonstrating a deeper care and appreciation for everyone at Hanahan Elementary School. We wish you continued success in the next chapter of your life and extend our best wishes to you and your family. Awarded this the 14th day of January 2020.* Mayor Rainwater then presented Mr. Sparkman with the Key to the City. She said that she couldn't imagine giving him anything else, being he opened the hearts of so many, for generations and generations, in our community. On behalf of everyone in the City, thank you! Mr. Sparkman had a few words that he wished to share, stating that he considers himself very blessed to have worked with such a great community. The parental support that he has gotten along the way was gracious, and he stated that what we have in our community is very special and not of the norm these days; as we all, already know. Mr. Sparkman shook hands with Mike Cochran, Mayor Rainwater and each of the Council Members.

- B. City Administrator, Mike Cochran presented the 2019 Employee of the Year award to Larry Sturdivant of Building and Codes. Mr. Cochran stated that this was a surprise award to a very deserving employee. His attention to detail is remarkable. Additionally, Larry recently obtained his Certified Building Official certification which is no easy task. Larry's entire family was hiding and waiting for him to be presented with the award. Everyone came up and Larry introduced his family. Pictures were taken and he was presented with his certificate. The plaque in the lobby will also be updated to state his name, for Employee of the Year.

- C. Mike Cochran, City Administrator was called back up recognize our Employee of the Month. Mike stated that typically we recognize one individual for this award, but it was extremely difficult to choose only one with all the amazing volunteers we had in December. Toys for Tots, The Shining Light Project, and Seacoast Give Back Program were all very successful. Fire Chief Bo Bowers stated that 26 families benefited from Toys for Tots this Christmas. Police Chief Turner stated that Seacoast's Give Back Program helped 40 families. Dominion Energy past bills were paid and additional funds that were received/donated to the Give Back Program were used to for the age gap for those who are in between age groups for Toys for Tots and Teens. It's a group effort, like Mr. Sparkman said earlier, this is what makes Hanahan so special!
- D. Council Member Hodges read the **National School Choice Week Proclamation**. This Proclamation states that School Choice is the process of allowing every family to choose the K-12 educational options that best fit their children. These options include all forms of education, from traditional public schools, to public charter schools, public magnet schools, private schools, online academies, and homeschooling. Every child deserves an effective, challenging, and motivating education. Because each student has their own unique set of talents, interests, and challenges, a variety of options in education is crucial. School choice ensures that each student can find a learning environment that allows them to be inspired, successful, and happy.
- *A motion to declare January 26 – February 1, 2020 as National School Choice Week was made by Council Member Owens.*
 - *A second was made by Council Member Sally.*
 - *There was no discussion. The motion was called; no one opposed. The vote was 6-0.*

Council Member Hedgpeth read the **National Mentoring Month Proclamation**. This Proclamation states that when young citizens have the support that they need to make the most of themselves, they can achieve their dreams and help strengthen our community. Everyday mentors play a vital role in this mission by helping to mentor the young boys and girls in our community. This month we celebrate these individuals who give their time and energy to help bring out the best in our young people and we salute their spirit of service. A mentor is a person or friend who guides a less experienced person by building trust and modeling positive behavior. We as a city can serve as mentors everyday by volunteering as coaches, lunch buddy mentors, test monitors, concession stand workers, Boys and Girls Club volunteers, Distinguished Gentleman's Club volunteer, scout leaders, field trip chaperones, and Sunday

School teachers just to name a few. The sense of dedication displayed by all those who invest their time and energy in mentoring reminds us that if we work together, we can ensure that there are no limits to what young citizens can achieve

- *A motion to declare January 2020 as National Mentoring Month was made by Council Member Owens.*
- *A second was made by Council Member Hedgpeth.*
- *There was no discussion. The motion was called; no one opposed. The vote was 6-0.*

CITIZENS COMMENTS REGARDING AGENDA ITEM ONLY

The Mayor opened the meeting for Citizens comments regarding Agenda items only.

- *There were no Citizen Comments.*

There being no further input, The Mayor closed this segment of the meeting.

CONSENT AGENDA: Consent Agenda items are adopted with a single motion, second, and vote unless a request for removal is heard from a Council Member.

- *A motion to separate the two items on the Consent Agenda was made by Council Member Sally.*
- *A second was made by Council Member Owens.*

Items on the January 14, 2020 Consent Agenda were:

1. Approval of the Minutes from the December 10, 2019 City Council Meeting

- *A motion to approve the Minutes from the December 10, 2019 Council Meeting was made by Council Member Owens.*
- *A second was made by Council Member Sally.*
- *There was no further discussion.*
- *Motion was called. The vote was 6-0.*

2. Athletic Field Renovations

- *Council Member Sally had a question concerning the Athletic Fields Renovation, stating that he may be reading it wrong, but he thinks that they are both for the same thing. Randy Moneymaker, Recreation and Parks Director said that there was a typo on the Consent Agenda that he submitted. One quote was for sod and laser-grading and the other quote was for irrigation.*
- *A motion to approve the Athletic Field Renovations was made by Council Member Owens.*
- *A second was made by Council Member Hedgpeth.*
- *There was no further discussion.*
- *Motion was called. The vote was 6-0.*

NEW BUSINESS

- A. The Multifamily Ordinance and Definitions Revision was presented by Jeff Hajek, Economic Development Director. Jeff stated that there are two (2) zoning amendments. The Multifamily ordinance is about meeting the cities need primarily. The intent for this ordinance revision is to plan for and accommodate the populate growth. Jeff Hajek had a slide show prepared and said that on this slide, entitled “Zoning Text Amendment Proposal: (RM) Multifamily Residential” that the far left indicates our existing ordinance; while on the right, it shows the proposed amendments which are:
1. **RM – Residential Multifamily** proposed change of the allowable maximum height of **fifty (50) feet**, rather than thirty-five (35) like we have currently.
RM-N – Residential Multifamily Neighborhood it would remain at thirty-five (35) feet.
 2. **RM – Residential Multifamily** proposed change of the maximum residential density of **thirty-five (35)** units per acre, rather than the twelve (12) units per acre like we currently have.
RM-N – Residential Multifamily Neighborhood proposed change of the maximum residential density of **twenty-five (25)** units per acre, rather than the twelve (12) units per acre like we currently have.
 3. **RM – Residential Multifamily** proposed change would be **no minimum lot area requirement**, rather than having a minimum lot area like we currently have.
RM-N – Residential Multifamily Neighborhood **no minimum lot area requirement**, rather than having a minimum lot area like we currently have.

Setback proposed changes:

RM – Residential Multifamily proposed changes:

Front: 15ft, rather than 25ft like currently.

Side: would remain at 20ft.

Rear: would remain at 15ft.

RM-N – Residential Multifamily Neighborhood proposed changes:

Front: 15ft; rather than 25ft like currently.

Side: 15ft; rather than 20ft like currently.

Rear: would remain at 15ft.

Jeff Hajek then moved on to speak about Sedgefield Apartments, stating that there are currently 90 units, with the Residential Multifamily Neighborhood (RM-N) it would allow for 150 units, on its six (6) acre tract of land. Park Place Apartments is on a twenty-one (21) acre tract of land with a good bit of wetlands and plenty of factors to keep in mind. With this size, there is a total number of 745 units; which is extremely high. This land was chosen because it falls within Industrial use.

- *Council Member Owens asked if anyone is looking currently to redevelop there. Jeff Hajek replied no. This is to help entice redevelopment as we currently have an extreme amount of underutilized multifamily land.*
- *Mayor Pro Tem Chandler said that he is worried that we are opening the door to allow double density, reducing the setbacks and height requirements before we decide which property will be protected and such.*
- *Council Member Sally chimed in and said, or we could just suspend any applications temporarily. Jeff Hajek answered by saying that these would not go into effect until we have adopted the zoning map.*
- *Larry Sturdivant, Building & Codes Enforcement Director approached the podium and said that they have reviewed the history from 2008 and the history of the community meetings in relation to RSL, RSM, RS Ordinance first, then Council and Planning Commission identifies properties and if approved, the rezoning could then take place.*
- *Mayor Pro Tem Chandler stated that higher density equals hardship for Public Safety access. Jeff Hajek replied by stating that with each new development he always works with Fire Chief Bowers to ensure access is adequate and the same with the Police Department. Public Safety is always considered first.*
- *Council Member Hedgpeth asked if the total density was based on the total lot size or the total usable size of the lot? Jeff Hajek answered wetlands is not including; it would be the total buildable area. Jeff Hajek stated he and Mike Cochran have met with a few developers who have said that they won't build here because our ordinances are lacking.*

Jeff Hajek presented Multifamily Ordinance and discussed the frontage definition and adding public or “private” verbiage.

Zoning Text Amendment Proposal: Frontage Definition

Context- Existing Ordinance Standards for Town Residential (TR)

-minimum lot area: 600-4,000SF

-minimum lot width: 25FT

-**maximum residential density: 4 units per 100FT of street frontage**

-maximum height: 35FT

Jeff Hajek stated that the way the ordinance is currently written, it doesn't allow for much development within each parcel. He also stated that density is regulated by units per 100FT of street frontage, so you get 4 units for every 100FT of street frontage.

Jeff Hajek showed a PowerPoint slide with a parcel of land that was 1.61 acres and had 28FT of street frontage. It stated that one (1) dwelling unit would be allowed.

Jeff Hajek's next slide showed a parcel of land that was 3.4 acres with 245FT of street frontage. It stated that this parcel would allow for nine (9) dwelling units.

Jeff went on with the **Zoning Text Amendment Proposal** concerning the Frontage definition. He stated that the existing definition reads: “That side of a lot abutting a public right-of-way or the distance over which that lot abuts the public right-of-way.” The **proposed** definition would read: “That side of a lot abutting a public or **private** right-of-way or the distance over which that lot abuts the public or **private** right-of-way.”

Jeff Hajek stated that there are three (3) smaller scale developers that are interested in our area, but they feel too limited with the way things are written currently.

- *Council Member Sally asked is this only for the TR district. Jeff Hajek replied that the TR district is the only district that density is dictated by street frontage.*
- *Mayor Pro Tem Chandler then asked what is the front setback? Larry Sturdivant replied by saying that the front setbacks face the street.*
- *Council Member Hedgpeth asked if we could change the wording from setback to frontage? Jeff Hajek said that that would have to be approved by the Planning Commission, but he can bring it to their attention. Council Member Dan Owens stated that he believes that will be an easy correction.*
- *Mayor Pro Tem Chandler stated that he is in favor of tabling this and sending it back to the Planning Commission for some revisions, so they can better clarify. He said that it's just too much and he is having a hard time following it with the way it reads now.*

- *Council Member Owens asked if fifty (50) feet is three (3) or four (4) stories high? Jeff Hajek answered it would be four (4) stories. He stated that he met with Robert Gerber Architect and he agreed four (4) stories and to leave some wiggle room for detail.*
- *Mayor Pro Tem Chandler asked if that was the top of the floor or roof pitch. Jeff Hajek said that it just depends as each product is different. Larry Sturdivant stated that it means the height from the grade between eave and ridge.*
- *Council Member Owens made a motion to table and Council Member Sally made a motion to second. Mayor Rainwater said that we never entered a motion or public hearing. She said that Jeff Hajek was still just presenting.*
- *Jeff Hajek said that a Public Hearing was advertised, therefore we must hold the Public Hearing for each item.*
 - *A motion to hold a Public Hearing was made by Council Member Sally.*
 - *A second was made by Council Member Hedgpeth.*
 - *There was no further discussion.*
 - *Motion was called. The vote was 6-0.*

During the Public Hearing, Mayor Rainwater asked if there were any citizen comments.

Citizen Comments:

Johnny Harkness - 1036 Dominion Drive: asked if we were going to go forward or not? Will this be discussed again later? Mayor Rainwater replied to Mr. Harkness that we will go forward and that this will have to be presented again, as it takes two readings, regardless.

There were no other citizen comments or questions. Mayor Rainwater asked if there was a motion to close the Public Hearing.

- *A motion to close the Public Hearing was made by Council Member Sally.*
- *A second was made by Council Member Hedgpeth.*
- *There was no further discussion.*
- *Motion was called. The vote was 6-0.*

Mayor Rainwater then asked if anyone wished to make a motion to table both Resolutions (2-2020 and 3-2020) until the revisions have been updated with the Planning Commission. Council Member Hedgpeth wanted to be certain that everyone was on the same page, with having questions for both Resolutions and sending them back to the Planning Commission He stated that they all seemed to have a question regarding the title of Resolution 2-2020 and a question regarding the definition on Resolution 3-2020. Everyone agreed that was correct.

- *A motion to table Resolution 2-2020 and 3-2020 was made by Council Member Hodges.*
 - *A second was made by Council Member Owens.*
 - *There was no further discussion.*
 - *Motion was called. The vote was 6-0.*
- *Once the motion was called, Council Member Sally said that we need to be specific for the staff, so they know what we are asking for clarity on when it goes before the Planning Commission again. One is the definition of frontage, public vs. private, and there seems that something was neglected to be added into the table. Council Member Hedgpeth chimed in and said yes, I believe we are just wanting clarity on that titling setbacks section and the minimum setbacks and the question on the frontage?*
 - *Mayor Rainwater asked what was there previously or has it always been this way? Jeff Hajek replied that this is the way it has always been. Mayor Rainwater said, so we're just wanting to revise it a bit.*

- B. Jeff Hajek moved on to the next item on the Agenda, which was Rezoning for TMS#: 259-00-00-145. He stated that there is a request from the applicant to rezone the subject parcel from General Commercial (CG) to Multifamily Residential (RM) in order to build a "Senior Housing", multifamily community. As it sits, it is approximately 8.5 acres and is West of BI-LO. The developer is looking to put their Senior Community on the 3.15 acres, as shown on the picture of the plat in the PowerPoint presentation. The green on the picture, indicates wetlands. The seller will retain the remaining land, the front tract will be for commercial purpose.

Jeff Hajek stated that the proposed apartment building concept of the senior living will be an "L" shape design and will be closer to the commercial portion of the tract. Additionally, this zoning request does meet the consistency with the 2012 Comprehensive Plan: population goals and policies, housing goals and policies, and land use and policies. It is also in conformance with the future land use map, as the subject parcel is within the "Commercial District" (*notated in red on PowerPoint slide*) where "high density residential and mixed uses are appropriate." This rezoning request from CG to RM is consistent with RM Ordinance as the proposed use is compatible with surrounding zoning districts: proposed use serves as a proper transition from single-family residential to commercial, the intensity of use (Senior Living Apartments) fits with the quiet character of the area, the applicant will retain an approximate 75-85ft natural wetlands buffer when only 10ft is required and lastly, it provides a needed housing typology for the City's senior population. We currently don't have any type of housing designated for a senior community.

Jeff Hajek said that it is recommended upon the staff's review that the Planning Commission approve the proposed rezoning with the condition of our receipt, with the newly assigned TMS# from Berkeley County, for what is currently listed as "Tract 3P-2A". He stated that they should have had it earlier today, but it is forthcoming.

- *Mayor Rainwater asked how tall or how many stories have they projected this apartment building to be. Jeff Hajek said that he believes he recalls three (3), however this is just a rezoning currently, so they aren't really in the design stage. They would have to figure out how it would be implemented on this site.*
- *Council Member Dan Owens stated that this is independent living and not an assisted living area. Jeff Hajek replied yes, that is correct. This is for those who are 55+ in age.*
- *Council Member Sally stated that just because we are rezoning this, it doesn't mean that it must be only for senior living housing, it just so happens that this is what they are currently intending it be used for. Jeff Hajek replied, yes, that is correct.*
- *Council Member Owens stated that he is correct, that our city does not offer any senior housing anywhere, except for skilled nursing and that isn't housing. He then asked if this proposed company do anything differently than senior living? What if it isn't used for senior housing. Jeff Hajek said there is a company representative present tonight and she can answer.*
- *Mayor Rainwater invited **Sara Neiman of Prestwick Development Company** to come and speak.*

Sara Neiman took the podium and introduced herself and said that she is proposing a senior, 55 and over apartment living. She stated that they are specifically looking for senior living only and stated that there will be a deed restriction, so that only those who are 55+ can live there. Sara stated that on the handouts given to the Council Members, they will see some of their other senior multi-story, independent care apartment buildings. Each of them is different. We are proposing one (1) building, in the center of the "L" there will be an elevator, fitness center, and a community room that will be available to be rented out (at no cost to the residents). There will be computers that the residents may use. Additionally, the community room will serve as a venue for Bingo nights, potlucks, and other community events. The Property Manager will live on site.

- Council Member Owens asked if there would be dining included. Sara answered that there will not be dining. There won't be any services, only the amenities mentioned earlier. However, there will be a laundry facility on site. Of course, each apartment unit will have washer/dryer hook ups; however, they will not be providing the washer/dryer for each unit.

- Council Member Hedgpeth asked how many units she is proposing. Sara stated that she would like to get 60 units. She won't max what we are proposing because of the shape of the property. While it is 8 acres, 3 acres is wetlands, so she's looking at approximately 2.6 acres for building and parking, which doesn't leave much wiggle room. She won't get the maximum density.
- Mayor Pro Tem Chandler asked where the protection for other properties is and have you purchased this property already. Sara answered no, they haven't purchased the property yet. It's not financially feasible the way it is written now; would allow for only 36 units and that is not feasible. Mayor Pro Tem Chandler said so this is all proposed based on the ordinance passing. Sara replied yes and stated that 2/3 of the units will be one (1) bedroom units. They just couldn't make it financially feasible; the numbers simply don't work out. Mayor Rainwater chimed in and said that it would not be affordable for our seniors, if this ordinance isn't passed. Sara replied that is correct; if this doesn't pass, she would have to look elsewhere. She then handed out site plans to the Council.
- Council Member Sally asked if the site plan that she just handed out was based on our current ordinance or the proposed. Sara answered that it is based on what we currently have; and following those current setbacks, etc.
- Council Member Owens asked would you build up or out; and retracked seeing that the only real option is to go up. Sara replied that he is correct; it's tight but they are limited in location of this because it is on a wonky shape of land and they must factor in the wetlands as well. That is why they feel that an L-shaped building would be best suited. Parking would be in the rear and parking is one of her biggest concerns; making sure there is enough parking for the residents. She stated that it's not like there are a ton of cars in a senior living community, because there really aren't. They love this location because of the proximity of services as well as the bike trails.
- Council Member Hedgpeth asked since you are proposing 60 units, how many stories high would you have to go. Sara replied 3 stories.
- Council Member Owens said that he's going elsewhere with his question, as he was interested in knowing the rental price point for these units. Sara replied that they are looking between \$700-\$1000 depending on the unit. Sara also stated that they have two (2) communities locally, should anyone wish to visit and compare apples to oranges. One community is in Moncks Corner (Merrimack Heights) and the other is in Summerville (Lake Point) with their property management services.

Mayor Rainwater asked if there was a motion to hold a Public Hearing for the rezoning for TMS#: 259-00-00-145.

- *A motion to hold a Public Hearing for the rezoning for TMS#: 259-00-00-145 was made by Council Member Sally.*
- *A second was made by Council Member Owens.*
- *There was no further discussion.*
- *Motion was called. The vote was 6-0.*

During the Public Hearing, Mayor Rainwater asked if there were any citizen comments or questions. There weren't any citizen comments or questions.

Mayor Rainwater asked if there was a motion to close the Public Hearing.

- *A motion to close the Public Hearing was made by Council Member Hedgpeth.*
- *A second was made by Council Member Owens.*
- *There was no further discussion.*
- *Motion was called. The vote was 6-0.*

Mayor Rainwater then asked if there was a motion to approve the Rezoning for TMS#: 259-00-00-145.

- *A motion to approve the Rezoning for TMS#: 259-00-00-145 was made by Council Member Sally.*
 - *A second was made by Mayor Pro Tem Chandler.*
 - *There was no further discussion.*
 - *Motion was called. The vote was 6-0.*
- Council Member Owens said that he works in this industry and many of them, referring to the Council Members are nearing this stage; him being 50 years old currently and Council Member Sally being 51; he likes that this can keep them here in Hanahan. This is most definitely needed here.

REPORTS AND COMMENTS:

A. CITIZENS COMMENTS

The meeting was opened for citizens' comments.

- Pat Eckstein - 7472 Hawks Circle -stated that the redevelopment/rezoning must take place. It has been wonderful to work with Jeff Hajek. Can't stay stagnant. Mayor Rainwater stated that she came to the meeting tonight ready to vote yes; she is confident this will work, and she is grateful for all of the work of the Planning Commission.

There were no other citizens' comments and this portion of the meeting was closed.

B. CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Mike Cochran gave his Administrator's report

- **Boat Landing Boardwalk** – All permitting has been approved. Seamon Whiteside is our consultant on this project and it is moving beyond permitting. Staff is working with Seamon Whiteside on the bid process.
- **Village Renaissance Grant** – the BCD COG reports that that an engineer for this has been approved by the SCDOT and they are working on the scope for the project. Final design is pending.
- **Flooding Turkey Creek** – The US ACOE (US Army Corps of Engineers) continues to work on their hydrology maps. They were sent King Tide at high tide photos to mesh with their data and final report.
- **Downtown Revitalization** – Powerline boring is proceeding albeit slowly. Once complete, the poles scheduled for removal will be removed. The replacement streetlights are pending final paperwork so it can come to Council for approval.
- **Railroad Ave.** – The SCDOT has been issued their Notice of Intent (NOI) as of 12/18/2019 and the project is set for May 2020. State Senator Campbell and the SC House Member Syleste Davis were helpful in brining this to this point.
- **53-Acre Park** – Seamon Whiteside is finalizing cost estimates for presentation for the February Council Meeting.
- **Food Truck Court** – The site has been cleaned up and building prepped for painting. The electrical and site work are in process. Target opening date is February 29th. Staff and Mayor have met with food truck vendors.
- **Ball Fields Renovation** – The fence work is in process; the irrigation and field work are on this month's agenda. The bathrooms are being updated and paint applied. This is ongoing.
- **Notes:** 1/20/2020 – Offices Closed for MLK Day Holiday
2/11/2020 – Berkeley County Council, District 1 Special Election for those who live in District 1. **Note that the election will be on Tuesday not Monday as stated, per Council Member Owens.*

C. MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMENTS

Comments from the Council were:

- Council Member Owens wished everyone a Happy New Year.
- Mayor Pro Tem Chandler welcomed back Courtney Soler, Finance Director. She returned to work for the City, after three and half years.
- Council Member Sally stated that he is looking forward to Courtney's first report.
- Mayor Pro Tem Chandler asked when they should expect Army Corp. Mike Cochran replied in May, as we are dealing with the Federal Government.

ADJOURNMENT

- There being no further business to discuss tonight, a motion to adjourn was made by Council Member Sally.
- A second was made by Council Member Hedgpeth.
- There wasn't any discussion. The motion was called, and the vote was 6-0.
- The meeting adjourned at 8:05pm.

Our next regular monthly City Council Meeting will be February 11, 2020 at 6:30pm.